1 2 3	Evaluation of Apramycin Activity Against Carbapenem-Resistant and -Susceptible Strains of Enterobacteriaceae
4 5 6 7	Running Title: Activity of apramycin against Enterobacteriaceae
7 8 9 10	Kenneth P. Smith ^a and James E. Kirby ^b
11 12 13	word count abstract: 49
14 15 16	word count body of text: 958
17 18 19 20 21	^{a,b} Department of Pathology, 330 Brookline Avenue - YA309, Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, Boston, MA, USA
21 22 23	^a kpsmith@bidmc.harvard.edu
24 25	^b Corresponding Author:
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37	James E. Kirby Department of Pathology Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center 330 Brookline Ave - YA309 Boston, MA 02215 phone 617-667-3648 fax 617-667-4533 jekirby@bidmc.harard.edu

38 Abstract

- 39 We evaluated activity of apramycin, a non-ototoxic/non-nephrotoxic aminocyclitol against 141
- 40 clinical *Enterobacteriaceae* isolates, 51% of which were non-susceptible to carbapenems (CRE).
- 41 Among CRE, 70.8% were apramycin susceptible, which compared favorably to aminoglycosides
- 42 in current clinical use. Our data suggest that apramycin deserves further investigation as a
- 43 repurposed, anti-CRE therapeutic.

44

45

46 Keywords: apramycin; aminoglycoside; carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae; repurposing;

47 multidrug-resistance; activity spectrum

48

49 Abbreviations: CRE - carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriacae; CLSI - Clinical and Laboratory
 50 Standards Institute

51

Treatment options for carbapenem-resistant *Enterobacteriaceae* (CRE) infections are severely limited (1, 2). Aminoglycosides are among the few drugs that retain *in vitro* activity against CRE (3), and combination therapy that includes gentamicin appear particularly efficacious (4, 5). However, up to 33% of patients treated with clinically-approved aminoglycosides develop some degree of irreversible hearing loss (6) and up to 25% develop kidney damage (7).

Interestingly, structurally unique aminoglycosides, specifically apramycin and spectinomycin, appear to have significantly reduced ototoxicity and nephrotoxicity effects (8-12). Further, they are unaffected by most commonly occurring aminoglycoside modifying enzymes (13) and activity may therefore potentially be preserved in multidrug-resistant pathogens.

Previous evidence suggests resistance to apramycin, a veterinary aminocyclitol, is low among human CRE isolates in the United Kingdom (3) and animal extended-spectrum betalactamase producing *Escherichia coli* isolates from Germany (14). Furthermore, in a recent high throughput screening effort, we identified apramycin as a potent inhibitor of a highly resistant CRE screening strain (15). Nevertheless, the activity spectrum of apramycin among human *Enterobacteriaceae* isolates, specifically CRE, in the United States has been underexplored.

We therefore evaluated a collection of 141 strains of *Enterobacteriaceae* of United States origin for their susceptibility to apramycin and aminoglycosides in clinical use. Of these strains, 114 were collected at our institution between years 2008-2014 under IRB-approved protocols, and 27 were from the Biodefense and Emerging Infections (BEI) Research Resources, NIAID, NIH isolated between years 2004-2013 with the exception of a single strain isolated in 1981. In total, 72 were CRE (meropenem MIC $\geq 2 \mu g/ml$). Carbapenem resistance mechanisms were

75 identified in 41 of 44 CRE strains for which genome sequences were available. Of the identified resistance mechanisms, 51% (n = 21) were KPC-3 and 39% (n = 16) were KPC-2. Other 76 resistance elements represented included KPC-4 (n = 2) and SME-2 (n = 2). Apramycin was 77 78 tested against all strains using the broth microdilution method according to CLSI guidelines (16). All experiments included E. coli ATCC 25922 as a quality control strain with MIC assay 79 acceptability limits (4-8 μ g ml⁻¹) as defined previously (17). Apramycin categorical breakpoints 80 were based on the most recent National Antibiotic Resistance Monitoring Study (NARMS) 81 report in which strains were classified as a ramycin susceptible (MIC $\leq 8 \ \mu g \ ml^{-1}$), intermediate 82 (MIC = 16-32 μ g ml⁻¹), or resistant (MIC \ge 64 μ g ml⁻¹) (18). Notably, pharmacokinetics of 83 apramycin has been investigated in both mammals and birds where important parameters 84 85 including volume of distribution, area under the curve (AUC), and half-life are similar to other 86 aminoglycosides such as gentamicin and kanamycin (19-21). As such, breakpoints are 87 potentially generalizable to human infections.

88 Amikacin, gentamicin, tobramycin, and meropenem Vitek 2 (bioMerieux, Inc., Durham, 89 NC) susceptibility data for strains isolated at our institution were obtained from clinical 90 laboratory records. Non-susceptible meropenem results were confirmed using Microscan broth 91 microdilution panel testing (Beckman Coulter, Inc, Brea, CA). For the BEI strains, aminoglycoside and meropenem susceptibility data were determined in parallel with apramycin 92 93 testing using the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) broth microdilution 94 reference method (16) with assays quality controlled against E. coli ATCC 25922 and Pseudomonas aeruginosa ATCC 27853 (22). CLSI breakpoints were used for categorical 95 96 susceptibility interpretation (22): in which categorical susceptibility breakpoints for gentamicin, tobramycin, and amikacin were ≤ 4 , ≤ 4 , and $\leq 16 \ \mu g \ ml^{-1}$, respectively. 97

Overall, 78% of bacteria tested were susceptible to apramycin (see table 1). Importantly, among 72 CRE (carbapenem MIC $\ge 2 \ \mu g \ ml^{-1}$), 70.8% and 7.0% were apramycin susceptible and intermediate respectively. Among the 69 carbapenem-susceptible strains, 85.5% and 13.1% were apramycin susceptible and intermediate, respectively. Only one carbapenem susceptible strain was apramycin resistant (MIC = 64 $\mu g \ ml^{-1}$). The MIC distribution for all strains tested is summarized in Figure 1.

104 The apramycin susceptibility rate among CRE was also compared to other 105 aminoglycosides using Fisher's exact test with significance defined as P < 0.05. Notably, the 106 70.8% apramycin susceptibility rate was significantly higher than the 47.2% gentamicin (P =107 0.003) and 34.7% tobramycin (p < 0.001) susceptibility rates, but not significantly different from 108 the 65.3% amikacin (p > 0.05) susceptibility rate. A total of 10 strains (7.1%), all of which were 109 carbapenem-resistant K. pneumoniae, were non-susceptible to all aminoglycosides inclusive of 110 apramycin. Importantly, we found 7 strains (10% of the CRE collection) which were susceptible 111 to apramycin but otherwise resistant to all other aminoglycosides tested.

Interestingly, high-level apramycin resistance (MIC > 256 μ g ml⁻¹) was restricted to 112 113 carbapenem-resistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (n = 14) and a single strain of *Enterobacter* 114 suggesting that these strains may have specific genetic determinants contributing to high-level 115 apramycin resistance. We therefore searched for specific aminoglycoside resistance mechanisms 116 in the 98 strains for which genome sequences were available either through NCBI or the Broad 117 Institute (Carbapenem Resistance initiative, Broad Institute, broadinstitute.org). Each genome 118 was queried against all proteins annotated as conferring resistance to aminoglycosides in the 119 Comprehensive Antibiotic Resistance Database (CARD) (23) using a custom Python script controlling the BLAST+ analysis software (e-value cutoff = 10^{-20}) (24, 25). As expected, Aac(3)-120

121 IVa, one of the few previously identified apramycin resistance enzymes (26), was detected in the 122 majority (9 of 13) of highly apramycin resistant strains (MIC > 256 μ g ml⁻¹) and none of the 123 apramycin intermediate or susceptible strains. These strains were also resistant to gentamicin and 124 tobramycin, consistent with the substrate specificity of this enzyme (27). Other apramycin 125 resistance determinants, Aac(1) (28) or ribosomal methylases (29), were not detected in the 126 strain set.

127 Two strains of *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (apramycin MIC > 256 μ g ml⁻¹) were non-128 susceptible to all aminoglycosides tested, but contained no detectable apramycin modifying 129 enzyme. This phenotype may potentially be explained by reduced permeability to and/or active 130 efflux of aminoglycosides, resistance mechanisms which are more commonly associated with Pseudomonas spp. (30, 31). Unexpectedly, we also identified two strains with susceptibility to 131 all aminoglycosides except for a ramycin (MIC > 256 μ g ml⁻¹). We hypothesize that these latter 132 133 strains may carry uncharacterized resistance mechanisms highly specific to apramycin which do 134 not appear in the CARD database.

In this work, we found that apramycin shows excellent *in vitro* activity against carbapenem-susceptible strains of *Enterobacteriaceae* and retains equal or better activity against CRE compared to gentamicin, tobramycin and amikacin. Furthermore, it is putatively less toxic than these other aminoglycosides and as a scaffold may be amenable to medicinal chemistry modification to further increase bacterial selectivity (32). As such, apramycin or derivatives appear worthy of further investigation for treatment of *Enterobacteriacae* infection inclusive of CRE.

142

143 Acknowledgements

- 144 We thank Thea Brennan-Krohn, Lucius Chiaraviglio, and Jennifer Tsang for critical reading of
- 145 the manuscript.

146

147 **Funding Information**

- 148 This work was supported in part by a Chief Academic Officer's Pilot Grant from Beth Israel
- 149 Deaconess Medical Center.

150 **References:**

151	1.	van Duin D, Kaye KS, Neuner EA, Bonomo RA. 2013. Carbapenem-resistant
152		Enterobacteriaceae: a review of treatment and outcomes. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis
153		75: 115-120.
154	2.	Livermore DM, Warner M, Mushtaq S, Doumith M, Zhang J, Woodford N. 2011.
155		What remains against carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae? Evaluation of
156		chloramphenicol, ciprofloxacin, colistin, fosfomycin, minocycline, nitrofurantoin,
157		temocillin and tigecycline. Int J Antimicrob Agents 37:415-419.
158	3.	Livermore DM, Mushtaq S, Warner M, Zhang JC, Maharjan S, Doumith M,
159		Woodford N. 2011. Activity of aminoglycosides, including ACHN-490, against
160		carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae isolates. J Antimicrob Chemother 66:48-53.
161	4.	Hirsch EB, Tam VH. 2010. Detection and treatment options for Klebsiella pneumoniae
162		carbapenemases (KPCs): an emerging cause of multidrug-resistant infection. J
163		Antimicrob Chemother 65:1119-1125.
164	5.	Rafailidis PI, Falagas ME. 2014. Options for treating carbapenem-resistant
165		Enterobacteriaceae. Curr Opin Infect Dis 27:479-483.
166	6.	Rybak LP, Ramkumar V. 2007. Ototoxicity. Kidney Int 72:931-935.
167	7.	Lopez-Novoa JM, Quiros Y, Vicente L, Morales AI, Lopez-Hernandez FJ. 2011.
168		New insights into the mechanism of aminoglycoside nephrotoxicity: an integrative point
169		of view. Kidney Int 79: 33-45.
170	8.	Matt T, Ng CL, Lang K, Sha SH, Akbergenov R, Shcherbakov D, Meyer M, Duscha
171		S, Xie J, Dubbaka SR, Perez-Fernandez D, Vasella A, Ramakrishnan V, Schacht J,
172		Bottger EC. 2012. Dissociation of antibacterial activity and aminoglycoside ototoxicity

- in the 4-monosubstituted 2-deoxystreptamine apramycin. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A
- 174 **109:**10984-10989.
- 175 9. O'Connor S, Lam LK, Jones ND, Chaney MO. 1976. Apramycin, a unique
- aminocyclitol antibiotic. J Org Chem **41:**2087-2092.
- 177 10. **Davies J, Anderson P, Davis BD.** 1965. Inhibition of protein synthesis by
- 178 spectinomycin. Science **149:**1096-1098.
- 179 11. Perzynski S, Cannon M, Cundliffe E, Chahwala SB, Davies J. 1979. Effects of
- 180 apramycin, a novel aminoglycoside antibiotic on bacterial protein synthesis. Eur J
- 181 Biochem **99:**623-628.
- 182 12. Akiyoshi M, Yano S, Ikeda T. 1976. [Ototoxicity of spectinomycin (author's transl)].
 183 Jpn J Antibiot 29:771-782.
- 184 13. Ramirez MS, Tolmasky ME. 2010. Aminoglycoside modifying enzymes. Drug Resist
 185 Updat 13:151-171.
- 186 14. Schink AK, Kadlec K, Kaspar H, Mankertz J, Schwarz S. 2013. Analysis of
- 187 extended-spectrum-beta-lactamase-producing Escherichia coli isolates collected in the
- 188 GERM-Vet monitoring programme. J Antimicrob Chemother **68**:1741-1749.
- 189 15. Smith KP, Kirby JE. 2016. Validation of a High-Throughput Screening Assay for
- 190 Identification of Adjunctive and Directly Acting Antimicrobials Targeting Carbapenem-
- 191 Resistant Enterobacteriaceae. Assay Drug Dev Technol **4:**194-206.
- 192 16. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. 2015. Methods for dilution antimicrobial
- 193 susceptibility tests for bacteria that grow aerobically; approved standard tenth edition.
- 194 CLSI document M07-A10. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, Wayne, PA.

- 195 17. Odland BA, Erwin ME, Jones RN. 2000. Quality control guidelines for disk diffusion
 and broth microdilution antimicrobial susceptibility tests with seven drugs for veterinary
 applications. J Clin Microbiol 38:453-455.
- 198 18. National Antibiotic Resistance Monitoring System (NARMS) Working Group. 2001.
- 199 Annual Report. http://www.cdc.gov/narms/annual/2001/2001.pdf. Accessed June 10,
- 200 2016.
- 201 19. Huth ME, Han KH, Sotoudeh K, Hsieh YJ, Effertz T, Vu AA, Verhoeven S, Hsieh
- 202 MH, Greenhouse R, Cheng AG, Ricci AJ. 2015. Designer aminoglycosides prevent
- 203 cochlear hair cell loss and hearing loss. J Clin Invest **125**:583-592.
- 204 20. Haritova AM, Djeneva HA, Lashev LD, Sotirova PG, Gurov BI, Dyankov VN. 2004.
- 205 Pharmacokinetics of gentamicin and apramycin in turkeys roosters and hens in the 206 context of pharmacokinetic-pharmacodynamic relationships. J Vet Pharmacol Ther
- **207 27:**381-384.
- 208 21. Dinev TG. 2008. Comparison of the pharmacokinetics of five aminoglycoside and
 209 aminocyclitol antibiotics using allometric analysis in mammal and bird species. Res Vet
 210 Sci 84:107-118.
- 211 22. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. 2016. Performance standards for

212 antimicrobial susceptibility testing; twenty-sixth informational supplement. CLSI

document M100-S26. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, Wayne, PA.

- 214 23. McArthur AG, Waglechner N, Nizam F, Yan A, Azad MA, Baylay AJ, Bhullar K,
- 215 Canova MJ, De Pascale G, Ejim L, Kalan L, King AM, Koteva K, Morar M, Mulvey
- 216 MR, O'Brien JS, Pawlowski AC, Piddock LJ, Spanogiannopoulos P, Sutherland
- AD, Tang I, Taylor PL, Thaker M, Wang W, Yan M, Yu T, Wright GD. 2013. The

- 218 comprehensive antibiotic resistance database. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 57:3348219 3357.
- 220 24. Altschul SF, Gish W, Miller W, Myers EW, Lipman DJ. 1990. Basic local alignment
- 221 search tool. J Mol Biol **215**:403-410.
- 222 25. Camacho C, Coulouris G, Avagyan V, Ma N, Papadopoulos J, Bealer K, Madden
- TL. 2009. BLAST+: architecture and applications. BMC Bioinformatics 10:421.
- 224 26. Shaw KJ, Rather PN, Hare RS, Miller GH. 1993. Molecular genetics of
- aminoglycoside resistance genes and familial relationships of the aminoglycoside-
- 226 modifying enzymes. Microbiol Rev **57:**138-163.
- 227 27. Davies J, O'Connor S. 1978. Enzymatic modification of aminoglycoside antibiotics: 3-
- N-acetyltransferase with broad specificity that determines resistance to the novel
 aminoglycoside apramycin. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 14:69-72.
- 230 28. Lovering AM, White LO, Reeves DS. 1987. AAC(1): a new aminoglycoside-
- acetylating enzyme modifying the Cl aminogroup of apramycin. J Antimicrob Chemother
 232 20:803-813.
- 233 29. Doi Y, Arakawa Y. 2007. 16S ribosomal RNA methylation: emerging resistance
 234 mechanism against aminoglycosides. Clin Infect Dis 45:88-94.

235 30. Miller GH, Sabatelli FJ, Hare RS, Glupczynski Y, Mackey P, Shlaes D, Shimizu K,

- 236 Shaw KJ. 1997. The most frequent aminoglycoside resistance mechanisms--changes
- with time and geographic area: a reflection of aminoglycoside usage patterns?
- Aminoglycoside Resistance Study Groups. Clin Infect Dis **24 Suppl 1:**S46-62.
- 239 31. Poole K. 2005. Aminoglycoside resistance in Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Antimicrob

240 Agents Chemother **49:**479-487.

241 32. Perez-Fernandez D, Shcherbakov D, Matt T, Leong NC, Kudyba I, Duscha S,

- 242 Boukari H, Patak R, Dubbaka SR, Lang K, Meyer M, Akbergenov R, Freihofer P,
- 243 Vaddi S, Thommes P, Ramakrishnan V, Vasella A, Bottger EC. 2014. 4'-O-
- substitutions determine selectivity of aminoglycoside antibiotics. Nat Commun **5:**3112.
- 245

- 246 Figure Legend.
- 247
- Figure 1. Apramycin MIC distribution for *Enterobacteriaceae* strains (n = 141) examined in
- 249 this study.

