

1 **Synergistic combinations and repurposed antibiotics active against the pandrug-resistant**  
2 ***Klebsiella pneumoniae* Nevada strain**

3

4

5 Thea Brennan-Krohn, MD<sup>a,b,c</sup> and James E. Kirby, MD<sup>a,c#</sup>

6 <sup>a</sup>Department of Pathology, Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, Boston, MA, USA

7 <sup>b</sup>Division of Infectious Diseases, Boston Children's Hospital, Boston, MA, USA

8 <sup>c</sup>Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA

9

10 #Corresponding Author

11 James E. Kirby

12 Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center

13 330 Brookline Avenue - YA309

14 Boston, MA 02215

15 [jekirby@bidmc.harvard.edu](mailto:jekirby@bidmc.harvard.edu)

16 Phone: 617-667-3648

17 Fax: 617-667-4533

18

19           In early 2017, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention issued an alarming report  
20 describing a woman in Nevada who died in the setting of infection with a pan-resistant  
21 *Klebsiella pneumoniae* isolate that harbored an NDM-1 enzyme (AR-0636) and was colistin  
22 resistant as a result of inactivation of the *mgrB* regulator gene (1, 2). Our laboratory has  
23 previously identified colistin-containing combinations that demonstrated *in vitro* synergy  
24 against colistin-resistant CRE (3). Here, we therefore tested the activity of 20 combinations, 18  
25 of which contained colistin, against AR-0636 to assess whether they merit future investigation  
26 as treatment options for patients infected with otherwise pan-resistant *Enterobacteriaceae*.

27           Synergy testing was performed using an inkjet printer-assisted checkerboard synergy  
28 assay developed in our laboratory (4, 5). Antimicrobials tested and suppliers were: colistin and  
29 amikacin (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA); apramycin and spectinomycin (Alfa Aesar,  
30 Tewksbury, MA); ceftazidime, clindamycin, fusidic acid, linezolid, minocycline, and  
31 sulfamethoxazole (Chem-Impex); avibactam (MedChemExpress, Monmouth Junction, NJ);  
32 azithromycin, chloramphenicol, doxycycline, and levofloxacin (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO);  
33 meropenem (Ark Pharm, Libertyville, IL); rifampin (Fisher Scientific, Pittsburgh, PA); tigecycline  
34 (Biotang Inc., Lexington, MA); trimethoprim (Research Products International, Mt. Prospect, IL);  
35 vancomycin and aztreonam (MP Biomedicals, Santa Ana, CA); and eravacycline (Tetraphase  
36 Pharmaceuticals, Watertown, MA). Quality control testing was performed using bacterial  
37 strains recommended by the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (6). The minimal  
38 inhibitory concentration (MIC) for each antibiotic was determined from wells in the array  
39 containing only that drug. The modal colistin MIC was 16 µg/mL; MICs for other drugs are  
40 shown in Table 1. For each well containing both antibiotics in which growth was inhibited, the

41 fractional inhibitory concentration (FIC) for each antibiotic was calculated by dividing the  
42 concentration of the antibiotic in that well by the MIC of the antibiotic. The FIC index (FIC<sub>i</sub>) was  
43 determined by summing the FICs of the two drugs. If the MIC of an antibiotic was off scale, the  
44 highest concentration tested was assigned an FIC of 0.5 to permit FIC<sub>i</sub> calculation. A minimum  
45 FIC<sub>i</sub> (FIC<sub>i-MIN</sub>) of  $\leq 0.5$  was considered synergistic; an FIC<sub>i-MIN</sub> of  $>4$ , antagonistic; and intermediate  
46 values, indifference. If the colistin MIC were  $>1$  2-fold dilution above or below the modal  
47 colistin MIC, the results were not used and the test was repeated with a new inoculum.

48 Synergy was seen when colistin was combined with all antibiotics assayed, with the  
49 exceptions of meropenem, vancomycin, amikacin, apramycin, and spectinomycin (Table 1). No  
50 combinations demonstrated antagonism. Although predictive correlations have not yet been  
51 established between concentrations that are active in *in vitro* synergy assays and clinical  
52 efficacy, we note that the concentration of colistin at the FIC<sub>i-MIN</sub> was  $\leq 2$   $\mu\text{g/mL}$  for all  
53 synergistic combinations, which is within the susceptible range for colistin individually against  
54 *Enterobacteriaceae* according to European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing  
55 breakpoints ([http://www.eucast.org/clinical\\_breakpoints/](http://www.eucast.org/clinical_breakpoints/)). (CLSI does not have established  
56 breakpoints for colistin for *Enterobacteriaceae*.) Similarly, the concentration of each drug  
57 combined with colistin for which *Enterobacteriaceae* breakpoints have been promulgated by  
58 CLSI (6) or the US Food and Drug Administration ([https://www.fda.gov/drugs/development-  
59 resources/antibacterial-susceptibility-test-interpretive-criteria](https://www.fda.gov/drugs/development-resources/antibacterial-susceptibility-test-interpretive-criteria)) was within the susceptible  
60 range for those agents individually, except for doxycycline, which was in the intermediate  
61 range, suggesting the possibility that these combinations could be clinically useful at standard  
62 doses.

63           We hypothesize that the activity of colistin in combination with antibiotics that act  
64 intracellularly, including protein synthesis inhibitors that are inactive individually against Gram-  
65 negative bacteria (e.g. linezolid, clindamycin, fusidic acid), against colistin-resistant Gram-  
66 negative organisms such as AR-0636 is the result of subinhibitory permeabilization of the outer  
67 membrane by colistin (3). Such permeabilization appears to be insufficient on its own to cause  
68 inhibition or killing, but may still facilitate increased intracellular concentrations of drugs that  
69 normally either cannot pass through the outer membrane, like clindamycin, or are too  
70 efficiently expelled by efflux pumps (e.g. linezolid) to accumulate in the intracellular space.

71           This hypothesis is supported by the lack of synergistic activity observed when colistin  
72 was combined with meropenem and aminoglycosides, as the outer membrane does not  
73 constitute as significant a barrier for these drugs. The activity of apramycin and spectinomycin  
74 alone, however, was notable. AR-0636 is resistant to commonly used aminoglycosides  
75 including amikacin (MIC >128 µg/mL), but we found that the MICs of apramycin (2 µg/mL) and  
76 spectinomycin (8 µg/mL) for the strain were considerably lower. Apramycin is used in  
77 veterinary medicine, but has low toxicity in animal models (7) and broad-spectrum activity  
78 against multidrug-resistant Gram-negative pathogens (8, 9). Spectinomycin, approved for  
79 treatment of *N. gonorrhoeae*, historically demonstrated efficacy in treating Gram-negative  
80 urinary tract infections caused by susceptible isolates (10). Apramycin and spectinomycin  
81 remain active against strains expressing circulating ribosomal methyltransferases (e.g., *rmtC* in  
82 AR-0636 (1)), in contrast to 4,6-disubstituted 2-deoxystreptamine aminoglycosides such as  
83 plazomicin (11). Therefore, it is possible that apramycin and spectinomycin could have clinically  
84 meaningful activity against strains like AR-0636.

85 Synergy was also observed when aztreonam was combined with ceftazidime-avibactam  
86 and with avibactam alone (Table 2). It has previously been observed that the combination of  
87 aztreonam, which is stable to hydrolysis by MBLs but susceptible to many of the other  $\beta$ -  
88 lactamases that MBL-containing bacteria usually also possess, with avibactam, which inhibits  
89 these other enzymes but not MBLs, results in activity against MBL-containing bacteria (12). The  
90 synergistic activity of this combination against AR-0636 further underscores the potential of  
91 aztreonam-avibactam as a therapeutic option for multidrug-resistant MBL-producing  
92 *Enterobacteriaceae*. We also noted that avibactam alone had activity against AR-0636, with an  
93 MIC of 8  $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$ . Although avibactam, a non- $\beta$ -lactam- $\beta$ -lactamase inhibitor, has generally been  
94 described as lacking significant intrinsic antibiotic activity, *in vitro* efficacy against ESBL-  
95 containing *Enterobacteriaceae* at concentrations in the range of as low to 4-16  $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$  has  
96 previously been noted (13), and *in vivo* activity of ceftazidime-avibactam against MBL-  
97 producing *Enterobacteriaceae* has been demonstrated in mouse model (14). Our results suggest  
98 that avibactam alone may have potential activity even against multidrug-resistant  
99 *Enterobacteriaceae*.

100 AR-0636 provides a vivid demonstration of how limited the options for standard, single-  
101 agent antimicrobial therapy have become for multidrug-resistant resistant *Enterobacteriaceae*.  
102 Our findings suggest that existing antibiotics, some of which have been in use for decades, may  
103 have activity against such strains when used in combination or individually. Further evaluation  
104 by means of *in vitro* pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic assays, animal models, and  
105 ultimately studies in human patients, will be needed to further elucidate their potential role in  
106 clinical practice.

107

108 **Acknowledgements**

109           This work was supported by a Boston Children’s Hospital Office of Faculty Development  
110 Faculty Career Development fellowship, and a National Institute of Allergy and Infectious  
111 Diseases Career Development Award K08AI132716 to T.B-K. and a National Institute of Allergy  
112 and Infectious Diseases R21AI146485 and R21AI142040 to J.E.K. The content is solely the  
113 responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the  
114 National Institutes of Health. The HP D300 digital dispenser used in synergy analysis was  
115 provided for our use by Tecan (Morrisville, NC). Tecan had no role in study design, data  
116 collection/interpretation, manuscript preparation, or decision to publish.

117

118

119 **References**

- 120 1. **de Man TJB, Lutgring JD, Lonsway DR, Anderson KF, Kiehlauch JA, Chen L, Walters**  
121 **MS, Sjolund-Karlsson M, Rasheed JK, Kallen A, Halpin AL.** 2018. Genomic Analysis of a  
122 Pan-Resistant Isolate of *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, United States 2016. *MBio* **9**:pii: e00440-  
123 00418.
- 124 2. **Chen L, Todd R, Kiehlauch J, Walters M, Kallen A.** 2017. Notes from the Field: Pan-  
125 Resistant New Delhi Metallo-Beta-Lactamase-Producing *Klebsiella pneumoniae* -  
126 Washoe County, Nevada, 2016. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* **66**:33.
- 127 3. **Brennan-Krohn T, Pironti A, Kirby JE.** 2018. Synergistic Activity of Colistin-Containing  
128 Combinations against Colistin-Resistant Enterobacteriaceae. *Antimicrob Agents*  
129 *Chemother* **62**:pii: e00873-00818.
- 130 4. **Brennan-Krohn T, Truelson KA, Smith KP, Kirby JE.** 2017. Screening for synergistic  
131 activity of antimicrobial combinations against carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae  
132 using inkjet printer-based technology. *J Antimicrob Chemother* **72**:2775-2781.
- 133 5. **Brennan-Krohn T, Kirby JE.** 2019. Antimicrobial Synergy Testing by the Inkjet Printer-  
134 assisted Automated Checkerboard Array and the Manual Time-kill Method. *J Vis Exp*  
135 doi:10.3791/58636.
- 136 6. **Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute.** 2019. Performance Standards for  
137 Antimicrobial Suceptibility Testing: Twenty-Ninth Informational Supplement M100S-29.  
138 CLSI, Wayne, PA.
- 139 7. **Matt T, Ng CL, Lang K, Sha SH, Akbergenov R, Shcherbakov D, Meyer M, Duscha S, Xie**  
140 **J, Dubbaka SR, Perez-Fernandez D, Vasella A, Ramakrishnan V, Schacht J, Bottger EC.**

- 141            2012. Dissociation of antibacterial activity and aminoglycoside ototoxicity in the 4-  
142            monosubstituted 2-deoxystreptamine apramycin. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **109**:10984-  
143            10989.
- 144    8.    **Kang AD, Smith KP, Eliopoulos GM, Berg AH, McCoy C, Kirby JE.** 2017. In vitro  
145            Apramycin Activity against multidrug-resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii* and  
146            *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis* **88**:188-191.
- 147    9.    **Juhas M, Widlake E, Teo J, Huseby DL, Tyrrell JM, Polikanov YS, Ercan O, Petersson A,**  
148            **Cao S, Aboklaish AF, Rominski A, Crich D, Bottger EC, Walsh TR, Hughes D, Hobbie SN.**  
149            2019. In vitro activity of apramycin against multidrug-, carbapenem- and  
150            aminoglycoside-resistant Enterobacteriaceae and *Acinetobacter baumannii*. *J*  
151            *Antimicrob Chemother* **74**:944-952.
- 152    10.    **Pickering LK, DuPont HL, Satterwhite TK.** 1977. Evaluation of spectinomycin and  
153            gentamicin in the treatment of hospitalized patients with resistant urinary tract  
154            infections. *Am J Med Sci* **274**:291-296.
- 155    11.    **Garneau-Tsodikova S, Labby KJ.** 2016. Mechanisms of Resistance to Aminoglycoside  
156            Antibiotics: Overview and Perspectives. *Medchemcomm* **7**:11-27.
- 157    12.    **Marshall S, Hujer AM, Rojas LJ, Papp-Wallace KM, Humphries RM, Spellberg B, Hujer**  
158            **KM, Marshall EK, Rudin SD, Perez F, Wilson BM, Wasserman RB, Chikowski L, Paterson**  
159            **DL, Vila AJ, van Duin D, Kreiswirth BN, Chambers HF, Fowler VG, Jr., Jacobs MR, Pulse**  
160            **ME, Weiss WJ, Bonomo RA.** 2017. Can Ceftazidime-Avibactam and Aztreonam  
161            Overcome beta-Lactam Resistance Conferred by Metallo-beta-Lactamases in  
162            Enterobacteriaceae? *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* **61**:pii: e02243-02216.

- 163 13. **Bonnefoy A, Dupuis-Hamelin C, Steier V, Delachaume C, Seys C, Stachyra T, Fairley M,**  
164 **Guillon M, Lampilas M.** 2004. In vitro activity of AVE1330A, an innovative broad-  
165 spectrum non-beta-lactam beta-lactamase inhibitor. *J Antimicrob Chemother* **54**:410-  
166 417.
- 167 14. **MacVane SH, Crandon JL, Nichols WW, Nicolau DP.** 2014. Unexpected in vivo activity of  
168 ceftazidime alone and in combination with avibactam against New Delhi metallo-beta-  
169 lactamase-producing Enterobacteriaceae in a murine thigh infection model. *Antimicrob*  
170 *Agents Chemother* **58**:7007-7009.
- 171  
172

**TABLE 1** Synergy of antimicrobials with colistin<sup>a</sup>

<b>Drug</b>	<b>MIC (ug/mL)</b>	<b>FIC<sub>I-MIN</sub><sup>b</sup></b>	<b>Drug concentrations at FIC<sub>I-MIN</sub> (Drug/Colistin)</b>
Doxycycline	>64	<b>0.094</b>	8/0.5 and 4/1 <sup>c</sup>
Minocycline	64	<b>0.063</b>	2/0.5
Tigecycline	8	<b>0.125</b>	0.5/1
Eravacycline	4	<b>0.125</b>	0.25/1
Clindamycin	>32	<b>0.188</b>	8/0.5 and 4/1 <sup>c</sup>
Fusidic acid	>32	<b>0.094</b>	2/1
Linezolid	>64	<b>0.313</b>	32/1
Chloramphenicol	64	<b>0.063</b>	2/0.5
Azithromycin	>64	<b>0.063</b>	4/0.5
Levofloxacin	4	<b>0.250</b>	0.5/1
Trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole	4-76	<b>0.156</b>	0.5-9.5/0.5
Rifampin	32	<b>0.063</b>	1/0.25
Meropenem	32	0.501	N/A
Ceftazidime-avibactam	>64-4	<b>0.125</b>	0.03-4/2
Amikacin	>128	0.504	N/A
Apramycin	2	0.516	N/A
Spectinomycin	8	0.531	N/A
Vancomycin	>64	0.625	N/A

<sup>a</sup>Colistin MIC = 16 ug/mL.

<sup>b</sup>FIC<sub>I-MIN</sub>, Minimum fractional inhibitory concentration index. Synergistic results are bolded.

<sup>c</sup>Two different concentration combinations inhibited growth at the FIC<sub>I-MIN</sub>.

N/A: Combination not synergistic

173

174

175

**TABLE 2** Synergy of antimicrobials with aztreonam<sup>a</sup>

Drug	MIC (ug/mL)	FIC <sub>I-MIN</sub> <sup>b</sup>	Drug concentrations at FIC <sub>I-MIN</sub> (Drug/aztreonam)
Ceftazidime-avibactam	>64-4	<b>0.004</b>	0.016-4/0.25
Avibactam	8	<b>0.047</b>	0.25/1 and 0.125/2 <sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Aztreonam MIC = 64 ug/mL.

<sup>b</sup>FIC<sub>I-MIN</sub>, Minimum fractional inhibitory concentration index. Synergistic results are bolded.

<sup>c</sup>Two different concentration combinations inhibited growth at the FIC<sub>I-MIN</sub>.

176

177

178